

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,112	03/10/2004	Arun Acharya	D-21396	2458
27182 7:	590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
PRAXAIR, IN			DOERRLER, WIL	LIAM CHARLES
	MENT - M1 557 EBURY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DANBURY, CT 06810-5113			3744	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	2		_
•	•	_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/796,112	ACHARYA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address -	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM! 1.136(a). In no event, however, m iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication of	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		matters, prosecution as to the merits	is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	1						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/ard		objected to by the Examiner.					
- · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			1(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Drienite under 25 II S.C. S.440							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.0.440(.)(1)(0.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			;				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	een received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bur							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		riew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-10-2004</u>. 	6) Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al (2004/0040315) in view of Dadd (6,127,750).

Koyama et al disclose applicants' basic inventive concept, a cryocooler with a means for compressing a gas (10) with a high pressure outlet pipe and a low pressure suction pipe (not numbered) and passing the gas through a frequency modulation valve (14) and passing the pulsing gas through a regenerator (12b) and a buffer (18,19), substantially as claimed with the exception of using an oil free compressor to produce gas compression pulses at a frequency of at least 25 hertz. Dadd shows this feature to be

Art Unit: 3744

old in the compressor art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Dadd to modify the cryocooler of Koyama et al by using an oil-free linear compressor operating over 25 Hz (Dadd will operate at 60 Hz if a standard outlet is used) to reduce the possibility of refrigerant contamination. In regard to claim 5, the frequency of the pulsing gas is seen as a matter of obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner in the art, depending on the gas used and the desired temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3,4,10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hohne, Zhu et al and Wang show pulse tube cooling systems with rotary valves to control the timing of pulses in the tube. Kawano et al, Heron and Yaron show rotary valves to control the frequency of cryocoolers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD